

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------|
| 10/510,416 | 10/06/2004 | Kazuo Tsutsumi | 19036/40136 | 8520 |
| 4743 75 | 90 01/26/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP | | | LEE, CYNTHIA K | |
| SEARS TOWE | ER DRIVE, SUITE 6300 R | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE | |
| CHICAGO, IL | CHICAGO, IL 60606 | | 1745 | |

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | .si |
|---|--|---|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/510,416 | TSUTSUMI ET AL. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | · |
| | Cynthia Lee | 1745 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | | • | , |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff pice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl | ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | | in the final rejection wh | inhawania latan da |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri | ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | ns of the date of e appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | * ** | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment (| (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-13 and 15-19. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended. | ll be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affiday | it or other evidence is | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to on showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ls to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | it does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowar | nce because: |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: _____.

PATRICK JOSEPH RYAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations in claims 1, 12, and 13 raise new issue.